

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX

0.U.Z 8W

SFUND RECORDS CTR 25660

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, Ca. 94105-3901

September 3, 1993

CERTIFIED MAIL: P-389-854-801 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Harry Gantz
DuBois Chemical/Diversey Corporation
15010 East Don Julian Road
City of Industry, CA 91749

Re: Unilateral Administrative Order No. 93-23 San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites Areas 1-4

Dear Mr. Gantz:

The enclosed Administrative Order, No. 93-23, requires you to conduct a Partial Remedial Investigation in the Puente Valley Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Superfund Sites. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") believes that activities at your facility have resulted in contamination in the unsaturated zone beneath this property and the underlying ground water.

On May 26, 1993, EPA issued you a Special Notice Letter pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq. ("CERCLA") for the Puente Valley RI/FS. Pursuant to this Special Notice Letter, a Good Faith Offer to complete the tasks set forth in the Special Notice Letter was due on or before August 1, 1993. You have failed to submit a Good Faith Offer. Based on your failure to provide a Good Faith Offer, EPA is ordering you to conduct a Remedial Investigation pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA.

The Puente Valley Operable Unit consists of large areas of contaminated groundwater resulting from multiple sources. EPA has determined that the most expedient way of addressing the operable unit-wide problem is to order Special Noticed-potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") who have failed to submit a Good Faith Offer to investigate a portion of the Operable Unit that was required in EPA's Statement of Work (SOW). In addition to DuBois Chemical/Diversey Corp., EPA is ordering one other PRP to conduct the required remedial investigation: GOE Engineering Co., Inc.

Please be aware that, pursuant to Section XX of this Order, you must provide EPA with written notice of your intent to comply

with the Order within two (2) days of the Effective Date of this Order. If EPA does not receive such notification, you will be deemed in violation of the Order. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 9607(c)(3), if EPA conducts the Remedial Investigation because you choose not to, you may be liable for up to three (3) times the cost incurred by EPA.

As set forth in Section XXI of this Order, if you desire a conference to discuss your implementation of the Order with EPA, you must make a request within three (3) days of the date of receipt of this Order. The request for a conference must be made by telephone, followed by written confirmation, to Mark Klaiman, Assistant Regional Counsel, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. Mr. Klaiman may be reached at (415) 744-1374.

Respectfully,

Keith Takal-

Jeff Zelikson, Director

Hazardous Waste Management Division

Enclosure

CC: Mark Klaiman, U.S. EPA, Office of Regional Counsel Phillip Ramsey, U.S. EPA

Robert Ghirelli, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles

Robert Walter, Chairperson, Puente Valley Steering Committee Richard Parker, GOE Engineering Co., Inc.

1	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 9
2	
3	In the matter of:
4 San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites,) Areas 1-4	
5	į
6	RESPONDENTS:
7 8	DuBois Chemical/Diversey Corp. 15010 E. Don Julian Road City of Industry, CA 91749 U.S. EPA Docket No. 93-23
9	GOE Engineering, Co., Inc.
10	1425 S. Vineyard Avenue) Ontario, CA 91761
11	Proceeding Under Section 106(a) of the) Comprehensive Environmental Response,)
12 Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980)	Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980) (42 U.S.C. § 9606(a)).
13	\(\frac{12}{3}\) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
14	
15	
16	UNILATERAL ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER FOR PARTIAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION
17	PARTIAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION
18	
19	
20	
21	,
22	
23	·
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. AUTHORITY	1
II. DEFINITIONS	1
III. PARTIES BOUND	2
IV. FINDINGS OF FACT	_3
V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	9
VI. DETERMINATIONS	9
VII. NOTICE TO THE STATE	10
VIII.WORK TO BE PERFORMED	10
X. OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS	20
XI. RECORD PRESERVATION	20
XII. DESIGNATED PROJECT MANAGERS	21
XIII.MODIFICATION OF WORK REQUIRED	22
XIV. SITE ACCESS	23
XV. DELAY IN PERFORMANCE	23
XVI. ENDANGERMENT AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE	25
XVII.ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK	25
XVIII.DISCLAIMER	26
XIX. ENFORCEMENT AND RESERVATIONS	27
XX. NOTICE OF INTENT TO COMPLY	29
XXI. OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER	29
XXII.SEVERABILITY	31
XXIII.PENALTIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE	31
XXIV.EFFECTIVE DATE	32
XXV. TERMINATION AND SATISFACTION	32
ATTACHMENT	j

I. AUTHORITY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Administrative Order ("Order") is issued on this date pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by Section 106(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. §106(a), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. 99-499 ("CERCLA"). The President delegated this authority to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "Agency") by Executive Order 12580, January 23, 1987, 52 Fed. Reg. 2923, and further delegated it to the Assistant Administrator for Solid Waste and Emergency Response and the Regional Administrators by EPA Delegation Nos. 14-8-A and 14-14-C. This authority has been redelegated to the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA, Region 9 ("Director") by Region 9 Delegations 1290.41 and 1290.42.

II. DEFINITIONS

- A. The San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites, Areas 1-4 are located in suburban Los Angeles County in Southern California. There are four areas of groundwater contamination listed on the National Priorities List (San Gabriel Valley Areas 1-4). Included within the sites are significant portions of the cities of La Puente, City of Industry, Azusa, Baldwin Park, Irwindale, West Covina, El Monte, South El Monte, Monrovia, Arcadia, Rosemead, and Alhambra.
- B. The San Gabriel Valley Area 4 Superfund Site was placed on the National Priorities List due to contamination of groundwater by tetrachloroethene ("PCE") and other organic

solvents. The San Gabriel Valley Area 4 Superfund Site includes an area of groundwater contamination located in the cities of La Puente, City of Industry and neighboring areas in Los Angeles County. The Respondents' Facilities are located in the San Gabriel Valley Area 4 Superfund Site.

- C. The DuBois Chemical/Diversey Corp. Facility ("DuBois Facility") is the property located at 15010 E. Don Julian Road, City of Industry, California. DuBois Chemical/Diversey Corp. owns this property and has operated at this location since 1965.
- D. The GOE Engineering Co., Inc. Facility ("GOE Facility") is the property located at 250 S. Ninth Avenue, City of Industry, California. GOE Engineering owned this property and operated at this location from approximately 1962 until 1985.
- E. Respondents' facilities and every location at which work is being performed pursuant to this Order shall be referred to as the "Site" for the purposes of this Order.
- F. "Day" means calendar day unless otherwise noted in this Order.

III. PARTIES BOUND

A. This Order shall apply to and be binding upon DuBois Chemical/Diversey Corp., a Delaware corporation ("DuBois"); and GOE Engineering Co., Inc., a California corporation ("GOE"), (collectively referred to as "Respondents"), their agents, successors and assigns. No change in ownership or operational status will alter Respondents' obligations under this Order. Respondents shall provide a copy of this Order to all contractors, subcontractors, laboratories, and consultants which are retained by Respondents to perform the work required by this

Order, within five (5) days after the Effective Date of this
Order or within five (5) days of retaining their services.

Notwithstanding the terms of any contract or agreement,
Respondents are responsible for compliance with this Order and
for ensuring that their employees, contractors, and agents comply with this Order.

B. Respondents shall not convey any title, easement, or other interest it may have in any property comprising the Respondents' respective Facilities, and Respondents shall not convey any interest in the corporation, without a provision permitting the continuous implementation of the provisions of this Order. Respondents shall provide a copy of this Order to any subsequent owner(s) or successor(s) before any ownership rights are transferred. Respondents shall advise EPA in advance of any anticipated transfer of interest.

IV. FINDINGS OF FACT

A. DuBois Chemicals

- 1. DuBois Chemicals has occupied the DuBois Facility since at least 1965. DuBois manufactures industrial cleaning products (85% liquid, 15% powder) at the DuBois Facility. Total annual sales reached 45 million pounds in 1990, about 35 million pounds were blended or packaged at the DuBois Facility.
- 2. DuBois historically repackaged or blended bulk methylene chloride, PCE and 1,1,1-trichloroethane ("TCA") to form products. Numerous other organic, e.g. alcohols and aromatic solvents, and inorganic chemicals are used in the facility.
- 3. Underground tank (UGT) removals in 1985 revealed soil contamination extending to the water table. In addition,

down-gradient monitoring wells showed near percentage level of dissolved products. Prior to their removal, the UGTs contained methylene chloride, isopropanol, Chevron 450 (an odorless kerosene), and No. 2 fuel oil. Soil samples collected beneath UGTs in 1985 contained up to 14% isopropanol, 3.8% Chevron 450 - (kerosene), and 15 parts per million ("ppm") methylene chloride.

20.

- 4. During the removal of the UGTs, 192 cubic yards of contaminated soil were excavated beneath UGTs down to water table. Backfilling was permitted by County to prevent direct exposure of the contaminated soils to precipitation.
- 5. In 1987, several borings were drilled in the previous UGT location and high ppm levels of Chevron 450 (up to 9600 ppm), isopropanol (up to 1900 ppm), and acetone (up to 310 ppm) were found down to 16' below ground surface (bgs).
- 6. In 1990, soil samples collected from three 10' borings near the northern aboveground tank farm showed volitile organic coumpounds ("VOCs") contamination by 1,1-dichloroethane (1,1-DCA) (63-420 ppb), TCA (7 3,000 ppb), 1,1-dichloroethene (1,1-DCE) (5-83 ppb). In addition, three borings stepping out from the former UGT location showed contamination by "mineral spirits" (up to 200 ppm). One such boring, BH6, had a continuous track of 1,1-DCA from near surface to 26' bgs (9-29 ppb).
- 7. In 1991, as part of a property transaction, several shallow soil samples were collected inside a building at the DuBois Facility. These samples, taken at the liquid chemical mixing area, showed high concentrations of methylene chloride (390 35,000 ppb), PCE (26 6,900 ppb), Freon 113 (7,000 11,000 ppb), TCA (up to 13,000 ppb), and dichlorobenzene isomers

(up to 130,000 ppb). In addition, high ppm levels of other petroleum hydrocarbons were also identified in these samples.

- 8. Later in the same year, 11 borings were drilled, and ppm or near ppm levels of TCA, 1,1-DCA, and 1,1-DCE and lower concentrations of other VOCs, including PCE, trichlorothene ("TCE"), acetone and methylene chloride were found. VOCs were detected from near surface to 20' bgs.
- 9. A piezometer (PZ1) installed in 1991 in the chemical mixing area detected TCA, 1,1-DCA, 1,1-DCE, 1,2-DCE, PCE, 1,2-DCA and 1,2-dichloropropane detected virtually continuously to water table.
- 10. A soil gas investigation in 1991 revealed thousands of ug/L of TCA, 1,1-DCA, and 1,1-DCE in the northern tank farm, chemical mixing area, and southern tank farm.
- installed in 1985 for UGT monitoring. Samples taken on Agust 24, 1985, from MW1, located immediately down-gradient of the UGTs, detected 12,000 ppm of isopropanol, 8 ppm of methylene chloride, 14 ppm of Chevron 450, and 12 ppm of acetone (a probable oxidation product of isopropanol). Other VOCs detected in MW1 during August 1985 include: 400 ppb toluene; 62 ppb naphthalene; and 10-23 ppb of two phthalate compounds. These last two compounds are common plastic ingredients which were probably dissolved from the monitoring wells' PVC casing and screen by the high organic solvent content in groundwater.
- 12. MW2, located down-gradient from the DuBois
 Facility building, detected chlorinated VOCs including 150 ppb of
 1,1-DCE, 38 ppb of TCA, 22 ppb of t-1,2-DCE, 89 ppb of 1,1-DCA,

24 ppb of 1,2-DCA, as well as other VOCs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13. In 1987, 5 additional monitoring wells were installed at the DuBois Facility. One of these new wells, (MW5), was to replace MW1 which was apparently damaged and subsequently abandoned. In 1990, two additional wells were installed (MW9 and MW10).

In 1991, 5 piezometers were installed to ascertain the groundwater flow direction. PZ1 installed in the chemical mixing area showed 3,200 ppb of TCA, 520 ppb of 1,1-DCE, and 750 ppb of 1,1-DCA, among others. Water quality data consistently showed high levels of VOC contamination in all the monitoring wells. The most contaminated well in the earliest stage was MW1. MW5 (MW1 replacement) consistently had high concentrations of both chlorinated VOCs (>100 ppb 1,2-DCE and 1,1-DCA, 40-70 ppb 1,1-DCE, up to 9.5 ppb of vinyl chloride), isopropanol, and acetone. MW6, down-gradient of MW5, showed thousands of ppm of isopropanol and acetone in 1987-88, but was dry from 1989 until 1993. When last sampled in January 1993, MW6 had 5,000 ppb of isopropanol. MW8 on the north side of the building saw an increase in contaminant concentrations of TCA (1,200 ppb max.), 1,1-DCE (1,300 ppb max.), and 1,1-DCA (135 ppb max.) from 1989 to 1991/1992. MW2, generally the most down-gradient well, has consistently detected, PCE (3.3-28 ppb), TCE (3.1-33 ppb), TCA (13-66.5 ppb), 1,1-DCE (5-530 ppb), 1,2-DCE (2-100 ppb), 1,1-DCA (34-333 ppb), 1,2-DCA (ND-62 ppb), vinyl chloride was found in the most recent two quarters (1.8-1.9 ppb). The up-gradient well, MW3, has always been "clean", 10 out of 16 samples showed all ND, no more than three VOCs were detected in any single

sample, and the concentrations were all below 5.2 ppb. These upgradient results confirm DuBois Chemical's impact to groundwater.

("General Notice) for the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites,
Areas 1-4, on May 7, 1990. DuBois was issued Special Notice for
the Puente Valley RI/FS on May 26, 1993 and was received on June
1, 1993 (Certified Mail Receipt No. P 104 938 520). Pursuant to
the Special Notice, a Good Faith Offer to complete the tasks set
forth in the Special Notice was due on or before August 1, 1993.
DuBois has failed to submit a Good Faith Offer.

B. GOE Engineering

- 1. GOE owned the property and operated a machine shop at 250 S. 9th Avenue, City of Industry from approximately 1970 until 1985. The property was purchased in 1985 from Robert Relly and Kenneth Jacobson (the original officers of GOE) by the current owners, Messrs. Schultz and Poltorak.
- 2. Despite repeated efforts by EPA, GOE has only provided extremely limited information on the facility. EPA has notified GOE that its response to EPA's 104(e) Information Request is incomplete. Requests by EPA to GOE for additional information have gone unfulfilled. The failure of GOE to provide information has forced EPA to rely on other sources of information, including information provided by the current property owners, tenant (Physicians Formula Cosmetics), and local regulatory agency files.
- 3. Physicians Formula Cosmetics has been responsive to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board ("RWQCB") regarding subsurface investigations at 230 and 250 S. 9th Avenue

locations, and has generated and continues to generate additional subsurface soil, soil gas, and groundwater data. An initial soils investigation report detailed the installation of three groundwater monitoring wells, which included one monitoring well at the rear of the former GOE facility. This well was - constructed adjacent to concrete pad, thought to be a former underground storage tank ("UST") location.

- 4. Limited documents provided by Mr. Relly on behalf of GOE indicated that four (4) USTs were removed in 1987, however, personal communications with L.A. County, Dept. Public Works through RWQCB indicated no sampling was required, based on indications that the tanks contained "oil". Soil sampling results during the installation of a monitoring well, MW2, in 1991, indicate a track of PCE to groundwater, with PCE detected in soils up to 11,000 ppb and with elevated concentrations detected in initial groundwater samples ranging from 1,800 2,800 ppb. These results indicate that it is extremely likely that one or more UST contained PCE.
- 5. RWQCB staff have contacted L.A. County Fire Dept., Hazardous Materials Control Program, and have been informed by the County that GOE has documented PCE usage at the facility. Specifically, a County Inspection Report dated June 8, 1983, indicates Stoddard solvent, PCE and cutting oil storage and usage. A PCE use rate of 972 gal/6 months was indicated on the report.
- 6. GOE received Notice of Potential Liability ("General Notice) for the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites, Areas 1-4, on May 14, 1990. GOE was issued Special Notice for

the Puente Valley RI/FS on May 26, 1993. Pursuant to this Special Notice, a Good Faith Offer to complete the tasks set forth in the Special Notice was due on or before August 1, 1990. GOE has failed to submit a Good Faith Offer.

V. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- A. Each Respondents' site is a "facility" as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(9).
- B. Each Respondent is a "person" as defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(21).
- C. Analyses of samples collected during past investigations at each Respondents' facility indicate the presence of PCE. In addition, high levels of 1,1-DCA are present at the DuBois facility; and high levels of PCE are present at the GOE facility. These substances are "hazardous substances" as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(14).
- D. The past, present, and potential migration of hazardous substances from Respondents' facilities constitutes an actual or threatened "release" as defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(22).
- E. Respondents either own or operate a facility where hazardous substances have come to be located. Respondents are potentially responsible parties as defined in Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a).

VI. DETERMINATIONS

A. The Director has determined that an actual or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Respondents' facilities may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment.

- B. The actions required by this Order are necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment.
- C. If performed satisfactorily, the actions required by this Order are consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 ("NCP").

VII. NOTICE TO THE STATE

Pursuant to Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9606(a), EPA has notified the State of California of the issuance of this Order by providing the Regional Board and California Department of Toxic Substances Control a copy of this Order.

VIII.WORK TO BE PERFORMED

A. General Provisions

- 1. All work shall be conducted in accordance with:

 CERCLA; the NCP; EPA "Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA" (EPA, October 1988);

 "Preparation of a U.S. EPA Region 9 Field Sampling Plan for

 Private and State-Lead Superfund Projects (EPA, April 1990); U.S.

 EPA Region 9 Guidance for Preparing Quality Assurance Project

 Plans for Superfund Remedial Projects" (EPA, November 1992); any

 final amended or superseding versions of such documents provided

 by EPA; other applicable EPA guidance documents; and any report,

 document or deliverable prepared by EPA because Respondent fails

 to comply with this Order.
- 2. All plans, schedules, and other reports that require EPA's approval and are submitted by Respondents pursuant to this Order are incorporated into this Order upon approval by EPA.

- 3. All work performed by or on behalf of Respondents pursuant to this Order shall be performed by qualified individuals and/or contractors with expertise in hazardous waste site investigation. The qualifications of the persons, contractors, and subcontractors undertaking the work for Respondent shall be subject to EPA review.
- 4. EPA will oversee Respondents' activities as specified in Section 104(a)(1) of CERCLA Section, 42 U.S.C. §9604(a)(1). Respondents will support EPA's initiation and conduct of activities carried out in its oversight responsibilities.
- 5. To provide quality assurance, maintain quality control, and satisfy chain of custody requirements, Respondents shall: (a) use a laboratory which has a documented Quality Assurance Program that complies with EPA guidance (EPA, September 1989); and (b) ensure that the laboratory used by Respondents for analysis performs such analyses according to a method or methods approved by EPA in the Field Sampling Plan and/or Quality Assurance Project Plan to be submitted by Respondents.

B. Work and Deliverables

1. Based on the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Determinations, EPA hereby orders Respondents to perform the following work under the direction of the EPA's Remedial Project Manager, and to comply with all the requirements of this Order. Respondents will furnish all personnel, materials, and services needed, or incidental to, performing the Investigation, except as otherwise specified in the Order.

Respondent shall initiate activities necessary to 2. satisfy the following objectives: install and sample monitoring well cluster MW6-3, as detailed in EPA's April 8, 1993, Puente Valley Operable Unit Inter RI/FS Statement of Work (SOW), a copy of which was issued to Respondents as attachments to the May 26, 1993 Special Notice. DuBois received Special Notice on June 1, 1993 (Certified Mail Receipt No. P104 938 520) and GOE received Special Notice on May 28, 1993 (Certified Mail Receipt No. P 104 938 525). The well cluster shall extend to the base of the alluvial aquifer (estimated to be between 800 and 1,000 feet Field procedure for the installation of the well cluster, collection of soil and groundwater samples, and lithologic and geophysical logging shall comply with above referenced EPA guidance documents and the SOW. Respondents will be required to conduct a minimum of one pumping test. Additional aquifer tests (either pumping or slug tests) may be required to supplement aquifer data for MW6-3. Water levels shall be measured monthly for 1 year. After the first year, the schedule will be reevaluated based on the monitoring results. Specific soil sampling requirements and groundwater sampling frequencies detailed in the SOW may be modified as a result of ongoing negotiations with the Puente Valley Steering Committee. EPA will notify Respondents of any sampling modifications.

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3. Respondents will maintain field and laboratory records and reports, including field logs, sample shipment records, analytical results, and quality assurance reports, to ensure that only validated analytical data are reported to and utilized by EPA. Field logs must be utilized to document

observations, measurements, and significant events that occur during field activities. Laboratory reports must document sample custody, analytical responsibility, analytical results, adherence to prescribed protocols, nonconformity events, corrective measures, and/or data deficiencies. In addition, Respondent will establish a data security system to safeguard chain-of-custody forms and other project records to prevent loss, damage, or alteration of project documentation.

- 4. Respondents will: (a) provide notification to EPA as described below; (b) prepare a Field Sampling Plan ("FSP"); (c) prepare a Quality Assurance Project Plan ("QAPP"); (d) prepare a Health & Safety Plan; and (e) prepare a final Remedial Investigation Report. These documents and actions are necessary to ensure that sample collection and analytical activities are conducted in accordance with technically acceptable protocols, that data quality objectives are established and met, and to otherwise meet the requirements of this Order. The Field Sampling Plan, Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan, and Health and Safety Plan may be submitted separately or as a single document. These tasks are described below.
- 5. Respondents shall notify EPA in writing of the name, title and qualifications of the individual(s) who will be responsible for carrying out the terms of this Order, and the name(s) of all contractors or subcontractors. Notification will be provided within fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date of this Order.
- 6. If EPA disapproves in writing of any person's or contractor's technical and/or experience qualifications, EPA will

notify Respondents in writing, and Respondents shall subsequently notify EPA within fourteen (14) days of Respondents' receipt of EPA's written notice, of the identity and qualifications of the replacement(s). A subsequent EPA disapproval of the replacement(s) shall be deemed a failure to comply with the order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 7. Subsequent to approval by EPA of the individuals, contractors, or subcontractors who will be responsible for the investigation, Respondents may propose that different individuals, contractors and/or subcontractors direct and supervise the work required by this Order. If Respondents wish to propose such a change, Respondents shall notify EPA in writing of the name, title, and qualifications of the proposed individuals and the names of proposed contractors and/or subcontractors. Any such individual, contractors and/or subcontractors shall be subject to approval by EPA. EPA shall give Respondents its approval or disapproval within fourteen (14) days of receiving from Respondents the information required by this The naming of any replacement(s) by Respondents shall paragraph. not relieve Respondents of any of its obligations to perform the work required by this Order. A subsequent EPA disapproval of the replacement(s) shall be deemed a failure to comply with the Order.
- 8. Respondents shall prepare a Field Sampling Plan ("Sampling Plan") in accordance with EPA guidelines (EPA, April 1990). It will include:
- (a) a detailed list of tasks to be performed to fulfill the requirements of this Order; and

- (b) a description of sampling objectives; sample location and frequency including quality control samples, sampling equipment and methodologies; sample handling and analysis; and other aspects of the work to be performed. (Where appropriate, Respondents shall use the protocols and analytical—methods addressed in documents included in the Attachment.
- 9. Respondents may cite relevant portions of these documents in the Field Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan. Respondents shall evaluate and incorporate into the Field Sampling Plan and/or Quality Assurance Project Plan any necessary protocols and analytical methods that are not addressed in documents included in the Attachment.
- Effective Date of this Order. The Final Sampling Plan is due 15 days after Respondent has received EPA comments on the Draft Sampling Plan. EPA must review and approve the Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan before any field activity is initiated.
- 11. Respondents shall prepare a Quality Assurance
 Project Plan in accordance with EPA guidelines (EPA, September
 1989). It will include (to the extent not included in the Field
 Sampling Plan):
 - (a) a description of data quality objectives;
- (b) a description of method(s) used in the investigation to document and record compliance with field and laboratory procedures (e.g., field logs, laboratory reports);
- (c) information sufficient to demonstrate, to EPA's satisfaction, that each laboratory used by Respondents is

qualified to conduct the proposed work (e.g., ability to meet required detection and quantification limits for chemicals of concern in the media of interest);

- (d) if the selected laboratory does not participate in EPA's Contract Laboratory Program ("CLP"), Respondents must submit documentation to demonstrate that the laboratory uses methods consistent with CLP methods and quality assurance requirements (e.g., detailed information to demonstrate the adequacy of the laboratory's quality assurance program; information on personnel qualifications, equipment and material specifications);
- (e) assurances that EPA has access to laboratory personnel, equipment and records; and
- (f) other aspects of quality assurance not addressed in the Field Sampling Plan.
- 12. Respondents may reference, rather than repeat, information contained in the Field Sampling Plan or in documents listed in the Attachment if the necessary techniques, protocols and quality assurance procedures are already described in those documents.
- 13. The Draft Quality Assurance Project Plan is due 30 days after the Effective Date of this Order. The Final Quality Assurance Project Plan is due 15 days after Respondent has received EPA comments on the Draft Quality Assurance Project Plan. EPA must review and approve the Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan before any field activity is initiated.
- 14. Respondents shall prepare a Health and Safety Plan in conformance with Respondent's health and safety program, and

in compliance with Occupational Safety & Health Act ("OSHA") regulations and protocols. The Health and Safety Plan will include the eleven (11) elements described in EPA Guidance (EPA, October 1988), such as a health and safety risk analysis, a description of monitoring and personal protective equipment, and medical monitoring.

- 15. The Health & Safety Plan is due 30 days after the Effective Date of this Order.
- 16. Respondents will notify EPA of planned dates for field activities at least one week before initiating sampling so that EPA may adequately schedule oversight tasks.
- 17. Respondents will notify EPA in writing upon completion of field activities.
- 18. After completing field sampling and analysis,
 Respondents will prepare a draft Remedial Investigation report
 describing the results of the remedial investigation. EPA
 guidance (EPA, October 1988) provides an outline of the report
 format and contents. It should:
- (a) include a review of all investigative activities that have taken place;
- (b) describe and display data which document the vertical statigraphy encountered and vertical extent of contamination at monitoring well cluster MW6-3;
- (c) demonstrate that quality assurance requirements approved by EPA and specified in the Field Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan are met; and
- (d) include as appendices a summary of all validated data, field logs, chain of custody forms, and any other

information used to document the findings of the remedial investigation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 19. The Draft Report is due to EPA 45 days after the field work is completed. Respondents will prepare a final Remedial Investigation report which satisfactorily addresses EPA's comments within 21 days after Respondent receives EPA comments on the Draft Report.
- 20. With the exception of the Health & Safety Plan, EPA shall review, comment, and approve or disapprove each plan, report, or other deliverable submitted by Respondents. All EPA comments on draft deliverables shall be incorporated by Respondents. EPA intends to review all plans, reports, or other deliverables within thirty (30) days of receipt of each document. EPA shall notify Respondents in writing of EPA's approval or disapproval of a final deliverable or if EPA requires additional review time. In the event of any disapproval, EPA shall specify the reasons for such disapproval, EPA's required modifications, and a time frame for submission of the revised report, document, or deliverable. If the modified report, document or deliverable is again disapproved by EPA, EPA shall first notify Respondents and then may draft its own report, document or deliverable and incorporate it as part of this Order, and/or seek penalties from Respondents for failing to comply with this Order, and/or conduct the remaining work required by this Order.
- 21. All documents, including technical reports, and other correspondence to be submitted by Respondents pursuant to this Order, shall be sent by U.S. mail to the following addressees or to such other addressees as EPA hereafter may

1	designate in writing, and shall be deemed submitted on the date
2	received by EPA. Respondents shall submit three (3) copies of
3	each document to EPA, one copy to the Regional Board, and one
4	copy to Department of Toxic Substances Control.
5	22. The three copies of each document to be submitted
6	to EPA shall be sent to:
7	Phillip Ramsey Remedial Project Manager (H-6-5)
8	Hazardous Waste Management Division U.S. EPA, Region 9
10	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: (415) 744-2258
11	One copy shall be sent to:
12	Dr. Robert Ghirelli
13	California Regional Water Quality Control Board 101 Centre Plaza Drive
14	Monterey Park, CA 91754
15	One copy shall be sent to:
16	Mike Sorenson California Department of TOxic Substances Control
17	P.O. Box 942732 Sacramento, CA 94234-7320
18	
19	23. Field work shall begin no later than thirty (30) days
20	after EPA has approved the Field Sampling Plan and Quality
21	Assurance Project Plan.
22	IX. SAMPLING, ACCESS, AND DATA/DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY
23	A. At the request of EPA, Respondents shall provide to EPA
24	and/or its authorized representatives split samples or duplicates
25	of samples collected by Respondents as part of the investigation.
26	B. Nothing in this Order shall be interpreted as limiting
27	EPA's inspection or information-gathering authority under federal
28	law.

- C. EPA personnel and/or EPA authorized representatives shall be allowed access to the laboratory and personnel used by Respondent for laboratory analyses.
- D. For purposes of this Order, EPA's authorized representatives shall include, but not be limited to, staff of the Regional Board, Department of Toxic Substances Control, and consultants and contractors hired by EPA to oversee activities required by this Order.

X. OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

- A. Respondents shall undertake all actions required by this Order in accordance with the requirements of all applicable local, state, and federal laws and regulations unless an exemption from such requirements is specifically provided under CERCLA or unless Respondents obtains a variance or exemption from the appropriate governmental authority.
- B. Any materials removed from the Site shall be disposed of or treated at a facility in accordance with Section 121(d)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9621(d)(3), EPA's Revised Off-Site Policy, and all other applicable Federal, State and local requirements.

 XI. RECORD PRESERVATION

Respondents shall maintain, during the pendency of this
Order and for a minimum of twenty (20) years after EPA provides
notice to Respondents that the work has been completed, a central
depository of the records and documents required to be prepared
under this Order. In addition, Respondents shall retain copies
of the most recent version of all documents that relate to
hazardous substances at the Site and that are in its possession
or in the possession of its employees, agents, contractors, or

attorneys. After this twenty year period, Respondents shall notify EPA at least thirty (30) days before the documents are scheduled to be destroyed. If EPA so requests, Respondents shall provide these documents to EPA.

XII. DESIGNATED PROJECT MANAGERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- EPA designates Phillip Ramsey, an employee of Region 9 of EPA, as its Remedial Project Manager ("RPM") who shall have the authorities, duties, and responsibilities vested in the RPM by the NCP. Within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date of this Order, Respondent shall designate a Project Coordinator who shall be responsible for overseeing Respondents' implementation of this Order. The EPA RPM will be EPA's designated representative at the facility. To the maximum extent possible, all oral communications between Respondents and EPA concerning the activities performed pursuant to this Order shall be directed through EPA's RPM and Respondents' Project Coordinator. documents, including progress and technical reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Order, shall be delivered in accordance with Paragraph VIII B.22.
- B. EPA and Respondents may change their respective RPM and Project Coordinator. Such a change shall be accomplished by notifying the other party in writing at least seven (7) days prior to the change except in the case of an emergency, in which case notification shall be made orally followed by written notification as soon as possible.
- C. Consistent with the provisions of this Order, the EPA RPM shall also have the authority vested in the On-Scene

Coordinator ("OSC") by the NCP, unless EPA designates a separate individual as OSC, who shall then have such authority. This includes, but is not limited to, the authority to halt, modify, conduct, or direct any tasks required by this Order and/or undertake any response actions (or portions of the response - action) when conditions present or may present a threat to public health or welfare or the environment as set forth in the NCP.

D. The absence of the EPA RPM or the OSC from the Site shall not be cause for the stoppage of work. Nothing in this Order shall limit the authority of the EPA RPM or OSC under federal law.

XIII.MODIFICATION OF WORK REQUIRED

- A. In the event of unanticipated or changed circumstances at the facility, Respondents shall notify the EPA RPM by telephone within twenty-four (24) hours of discovery of the new or changed circumstances. This verbal notification shall be followed by written notification postmarked within three (3) days of discovery of the new or changed circumstances.
- B. The Director may determine that in addition to tasks addressed herein, additional work may be required. Where consistent with Section 106(a) of CERCLA, the Director may direct as an amendment to this Order that Respondents perform these response actions in addition to those required herein by any plan. Respondents shall implement the additional tasks which the Director identifies. The additional work shall be completed according to the standards, specifications, and schedules set forth by the Director.

1 |

XIV. SITE ACCESS

- A. Respondents shall permit EPA and its authorized representatives to have access at all times to the Site to monitor any activity conducted pursuant to this Order to conduct such tests or investigations as EPA deems necessary. Nothing in this Order shall be deemed a limit upon EPA's authority under federal law to gain access to the Site.
- B. To the extent that Respondents requires access to land other than land it owns in carrying out the terms of this Order, Respondents shall, within forty-five (45) days of the Effective Date of this Order, obtain access for EPA, its contractors and oversight officials; state oversight officials and state contractors; and Respondents or its authorized representatives. If Respondents fails to gain access within forty-five (45) days, it shall continue to use best efforts to obtain access until access is granted. For purposes of this paragraph, "best efforts" includes but is not limited to, seeking judicial assistance and the payment of money as consideration for access. If access is not provided within the time referenced above, EPA may obtain access under Sections 104(e) or 106(a) of CERCLA.

XV. DELAY IN PERFORMANCE

A. Any delay in performance of this Order that, in the EPA's judgment, is not properly justified by Respondents under the terms of this Section shall be considered a violation of this Order. Any delay in performance of this Order shall not affect Respondents' obligations to fully perform all obligations under the terms and conditions of this Order.

28 \ \ \ \

- Respondents shall notify EPA of any delay or В. anticipated delay in performing any requirement of this Order. Such notification shall be made by telephone to EPA's RPM within twenty-four (24) hours after Respondents first knew or should have known that a delay might occur. Respondents shall adopt all -reasonable measures to avoid or minimize any such delay. three (3) days after notifying EPA by telephone, Respondents shall provide written notification fully describing the nature of the delay, any justification for delay, any reason why Respondents should not be held strictly accountable for failing to comply with any relevant requirements of this Order, the measures planned and taken to minimize the delay, and a schedule for implementing the measures that will be taken to mitigate the effect of the delay. Increased costs or expenses associated with implementation of the activities called for in this Order are not justifications for any delay in performance.
- C. If Respondents are unable to perform any activity or submit any document within the time required under this Order, Respondents may, prior to the expiration of the time, request an extension of time in writing. The extension request shall include a justification for the delay. Submission of an extension request shall not affect Respondents' obligation to comply with the requirements of this Order.
- D. If EPA determines that good cause exists for an extension of time, it may grant a request made pursuant to Subparagraph C, above, and specify in writing a new schedule for completion of the activity and/or submission of the document.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

XVI. ENDANGERMENT AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

- A. In the event of any action or occurrence during the performance of the work which causes or threatens to cause a release of a hazardous substance or which may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, Respondents shall immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize the threat, and shall immediately notify EPA's RPM, or, if the RPM is unavailable, EPA's OSC. If neither of these persons is available, Respondents shall notify the EPA Emergency Response Unit, Region 9, phone number (415) 744-2000. Respondents shall take such action in consultation with EPA's RPM and in accordance with all applicable provisions of this Order, including but not limited to the Health and Safety Plan.
- B. Nothing in the preceding paragraph shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States to take, direct, or order all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, or minimize an actual or threatened release of hazardous substances on, at, the Site.

XVII.ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

A. Respondents shall demonstrate their ability to complete the work required by this Order and to pay all claims that arise from the performance of the work by obtaining and presenting to EPA within thirty (30) days after approval of the Field Sampling and Quality Assurance Project Plans, one of the following: (1) a performance bond; (2) a letter of credit; (3) a guarantee by a third party; or (4) internal financial information to allow EPA to determine that Respondents have sufficient assets available to

perform the work. Respondents shall demonstrate financial assurance in an amount no less than the estimate of cost for the remedial investigation. If Respondents seek to demonstrate ability to complete the remedial investigation by means of internal financial information, or by guarantee of a third party, Respondents shall re-submit such information every six months from the Effective Date of this Order. If EPA determines that such financial information is inadequate, Respondents shall, within fifteen (15) days after receipt of EPA's notice of determination, obtain and present to EPA for approval on the other forms of financial assurance listed above.

B. At least seven (7) days prior to commencing any work at the Site pursuant to this Order, Respondents shall submit to EPA a certification that Respondents or its contractors and subcontractors have adequate insurance coverage or has indemnification for liabilities for injuries or damages to persons or property which may result from the activities to be conducted by or on behalf of Respondents pursuant to this Order. Respondents shall ensure that such insurance or indemnification is maintained for the duration of performance of the work required by this Order.

XVIII.DISCLAIMER

The United States, by issuance of this Order, assumes no liability for any injuries or damages to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by Respondents, or its employees, agents, successors, assigns, contractors, or consultants in carrying out any action or activity pursuant to this Order. Neither EPA nor the United States shall be held as a

party to any contract entered into by Respondents, or its employees, agents, successors, assigns, contractors, or consultants in carrying out any action or activity pursuant to this Order.

XIX. ENFORCEMENT AND RESERVATIONS

- A. EPA reserves the right to bring an action against Respondents, or any individual Respondent, under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607, for recovery of any response costs incurred by the United States related to this Order and not reimbursed by Respondents. This reservation shall include but not be limited to past costs, direct costs, indirect costs, the costs of oversight, the costs of compiling the cost documentation to support oversight cost demand, as well as accrued interest as provided in Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607.
- B. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Order, at any time during the response action, EPA may perform its own studies, complete the response action (or any portion of this response action) and seek reimbursement from Respondents for its costs, or seek any other appropriate relief.
- C. Nothing in this Order shall preclude EPA from taking any additional enforcement action, including modification of this Order or issuance of additional Orders, and/or additional remedial or removal actions as EPA may deem necessary, or from requiring Respondents, or any individual Respondent, in the future to perform additional activities pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a), et seq., or any other applicable law.

 Respondents shall be liable under CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. §9607(a), for the costs of any such additional actions.

- D. Notwithstanding any provision of this Order, the United States hereby retains all of its information gathering, inspection and enforcement authorities and rights under CERCLA, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, or any other applicable statutes or regulations.
- E. Respondents, and each individual Respondent, shall be subject to civil penalties under Section 106(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9606(b), of not more than \$25,000 for each day in which Respondents willfully violates or fails to comply with the requirements of this Order. In addition, failure to take response action in compliance with this Order, or any portion hereof, without sufficient cause, may result in liability under Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(c)(3), for punitive damages in an amount at least equal to, and not more than three (3) times the amount of any costs incurred by the Hazardous Substance Superfund, as a result of such failure to comply.
- F. Notwithstanding compliance with the terms of this Order, including the completion of an EPA-approved remedial investigation, Respondents are not released from liability, if any, for any enforcement actions beyond the terms of this Order taken by EPA.
- G. EPA reserves the right to take any enforcement action pursuant to CERCLA and/or any other legal authority, including the right to seek injunctive relief, monetary penalties, reinbursement of response costs, and punitive damages for any violation of law or this Order.
- H. EPA expressly reserves all rights and defenses that it may have, including the EPA's right both to disapprove of work

performed by Respondents and to request that Respondents perform tasks in addition to those detailed in this Order, as provided in Section VIII (Work to be Performed) of this Order. EPA reserves the right to undertake removal actions and/or remedial actions at any time. EPA reserves the right to seek reimbursement from - Respondents for the costs incurred by the United States in removal and remedial actions.

- I. This Order does not release Respondents from any claim, cause of action or demand in law or equity, including, but not limited to, any claim, cause of action, or demand which lawfully may be asserted by representatives of the United States or the State of California.
- J. No informal advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by EPA regarding reports, plans, specifications, schedules, and any other writing submitted by Respondents will be construed as relieving Respondents of its obligation to obtain such formal approval as may be required by this Order.

18 XX. NOTICE OF INTENT TO COMPLY

Respondents shall, within two (2) days of the Effective Date of this Order, provide written notice to EPA stating whether Respondents will comply with the terms of this Order. Failure to respond, or failure to agree to comply with this Order, shall be deemed a refusal to comply with this Order.

XXI. OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER

A. Respondents may, within three (3) days of receipt of this Order, request a conference with EPA's Director of the Haz-ardous Waste Management Division, or whomever the Director may designate. If requested, the conference shall occur within seven

(7) days of the request, unless extended by mutual agreement of the Parties, at EPA's Regional Office, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- At any conference held pursuant to Respondents' request, Respondents may appear in person, or be represented by_ an attorney or other representative. If Respondents desire such a conference, the Respondents shall contact Mark Klaiman, Assistant Regional Counsel, at (415) 744-1374.
- C. The purpose and scope of any such conference held pursuant to this Order shall be limited to issues involving the implementation of the response actions required by this Order and the extent to which Respondents intend to comply with this Order. If such a conference is held, Respondents may present any evidence, arguments or comment regarding this Order, its applicability, any factual determinations upon which the Order is based, the appropriateness of any action which Respondents are ordered to take, or any other relevant and material issue. such evidence, arguments or comments should be reduced to writing and submitted to EPA within three (3) calendar days following the conference. This conference is not an evidentiary hearing, and does not constitute a proceeding to challenge this Order. does not give Respondents a right to seek review of this Order, or to seek resolution of potential liability, and no official stenographic record of the conference will be made. conference is requested, any such evidence, arguments or comments must be submitted in writing within three (3) calendar days following the Effective Date of this Order. Any such writing 28 should be directed to Mark Klaiman, Assistant Regional Counsel,

at the address cited above.

D. Respondents are hereby placed on notice that EPA will take any action which may be necessary in the opinion of EPA for the protection of public health and welfare and the environment, and Respondents may be liable under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(a), for the costs of those government actions.

XXII.SEVERABILITY

If any provision or authority of this Order or the application of this Order to any circumstance is held by a court to be invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances and the remainder of this Order shall not be affected thereby, and the remainder of this Order shall remain in force.

XXIII.PENALTIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

Respondents are advised pursuant to Section 106(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(b), that willful violation or subsequent failure or refusal to comply with this Order, or any portion thereof, may subject Respondents to a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per day for each day in which such violation occurs, or such failure to comply continues. Failure to comply with this Order, or any portion thereof, without sufficient cause may also subject Respondents to liability for punitive damages in an amount three times the amount of any cost incurred by the government as a result of the failure of Respondents to take proper action, pursuant to Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(c)(3).

27 \ \ \ \

28 | \ \ \ \

XXIV. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Order is effective three (3) calendar days following receipt by Respondents unless a conference is requested as provided herein. If such a conference is requested, this Order shall be effective the second (2nd) calendar day following the - day of such conference unless modified in writing by EPA.

XXV. TERMINATION AND SATISFACTION

The provisions of this Order shall be deemed satisfied upon Respondents' receipt of written notice from EPA that Respondents have demonstrated, to the satisfaction of EPA, that all of the terms of this Order, including any additional tasks which EPA has determined to be necessary, have been completed.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

By: Toff 701ik

Jeff Zelikson

Hazardous Waste Management Division

Region 9

EPA Region 9 Contacts: 1 | Phillip Ramsey Remedial Project Manager (H-6-5) Hazardous Waste Management Division U.S. EPA, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 744-2258 Mark Klaiman Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel U.S. EPA, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 744-1374

4 6 3 12

ATTACHMENT

The following list, although not comprehensive, comprises many of the regulations and guidance documents that apply to the Investigation process:

The (revised) National Contingency Plan

"Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA," U.S. EPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, October 1988, OSWER Directive No. 9355.3-01.

"Interim Guidance on Potentially Responsible Party Participation in Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Studies," U.S. EPA, Office of Waste Programs Enforcement, Appendix A to OSWER Directive No. 9355.3-01.

"A Compendium of Superfund Field Operations Methods," Two Volumes, U.S. EPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, EPA/540/P-87/001a, August 1987, OSWER Directive No. 9355.0-14.

"EPA NEIC Policies and Procedures Manual," May 1978, revised November 1984, EPA-330/9-78-001-R.

"Data Quality Objectives for Remedial Response Activities," U.S.EPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response and Office of Waste Programs Enforcement, EPA/540/G-87/003, March 1987, OSWER Directive No. 9335.0-7B.

"U.S. EPA Region 9 Guidance for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans for Superfund Remedial Projects," 9QA-03-00, U.S. EPA Region 9 QAMs, September 1989.

"Users Guide to the EPA Contract Laboratory Program," U.S. EPA, Sample Management Office, August 1982.

"Health and Safety Requirements of Employees Employed in Field Activities," U.S. EPA, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, July 12, 1981, EPA Order No. 1440.2.

OSHA Regulations in 29 CFR 1910.120 (Federal Register 45654, December 19, 1986).

Preparation of a U.S. EPA Region 9 Field Sampling Plan for Private and State-Lead Superfund Projects, Document Control Number 9QA-06-89, April 1990, U.S. EPA Region 9, Quality Assurance Management Section.